IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 23RD AUGUST 2007 / 1ST BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 21222 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

SMT.MARY K.C., SENIOR INSTRUCTOR,
GOVERNMENT I.T.I., PALLICKATHODU.

BY ADV. SRI.JOHN K.GEORGE

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE DIRECTOR OF TRAINING,
INDUSTRIAL TRAINING DEPARTMENT,
THYCAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF TRAINING,
INDUSTRIAL TRAINING DEPARTMENT,
THYCAUD, TRIVANDRUM.

3. SMT.SUDHA P.NAIR, SENIOR INSTRUCTOR,
GOVERNMENT I.T.I., ETTUMANNOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.P.V.LOHITHAKSHAN
SMT.P.VIJAYAMMA
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 21222 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 23rd day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The grievance of the writ petitioner is against Ext.P1, to the extent it transfers the petitioner from ITI, Chalakkudy to ITI, Pallickathodu in Kottayam District. It is stated that though she had opted for Kalamasserry or Ettumanoor, ignoring the option, she was posted at Pallickathodu. It is also stated that at the same time the 3rd respondent, who did not opt for Ettumanoor has been given a convenient posting there. Aggrieved by the order of transfer, the petitioner has filed Ext.P2 representation before the 1st respondent, which is pending.

2. Though it is for the respondents to pass orders of deployment of staff, still they are bound to examine the individual grievances that are raised. In this case, since Ext.P2 representation has been filed and is pending with the first respondent, I dispose of this writ petition directing the 1st respondent to consider and pass orders thereon. In the process,

if the rights of the 3rd respondent are likely to be affected, she should also be put on notice and heard along with the petitioner. Orders on Ext.P2 shall be passed within six weeks on receipt of a copy of this judgment.

3. Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 1st respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp